

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,635	12/30/2003		Saqib Masroor	6895	
7:	590	11/23/2005		EXAMINER	
Mark Terry	N #20/	1	GHERBI, SUZETTE JAIME J		
227 Michigan Av. #204 Miami Beach, FL 33139				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>,</b>			3738		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>\O</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A. Care Over	10/748,635	MASROOR, SAQIB					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Suzette J. Gherbi	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 D	<u>ecember 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
, —	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/30/03</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[] The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document		N.					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>	• •						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "120" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It has been noted that the letter "e" has been dropped from many words in the specification (see page 5 [0013]; page 6 and page 15. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitt et al. 6,187,033 in view of Blatter 6,663,590. Schmitt et al. discloses the invention as currently claimed noting figures 2-4 comprising: A tubular element having first and second ends wherein the inside of the element is exposed and capable of being coupled to an artery; and an access device (40) coupled to the tubular wherein the tubular element is made from PTFE and Dacron (see col., lines 11-34). However Schmitt et al. does not call the access device a valve access rather a port. Blatter teaches vascular access systems wherein anastomosis grafted vessels are utilized including providing a port devices (see figure 1C) with control valves also known as self

Application/Control Number: 10/748,635

Art Unit: 3738

sealing cover (52; 352) including a coupling device (53, 55). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the anastomosis graft of Schmitt and incorporate a valve into the port as taught by Blatter because the valve provides controlled access during the surgical procedure and both patent state that having access is important and Schmitt et al. further discloses that the port may be ligated and tied off after use.

Page 4

5. The intended use recitation/functional language of the claims for example allowing for egress of debris and gas from the inside out and wherein it is determined whether the prosthetic arterial graft is hemostatic by insertion of fluid into the tubular element via the access valve and inspecting for leaking of the fluid at the coupling between the first end and the artery and coupling between the second end and artery" carries no patentabale weight in the absence of any distinguishing structure. These are article claims not method claims and Schmitt et al. in view of Blatter clearly discloses the structure as claimed and is found to be inherently capable of performing the function.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,635

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Suzette J-J Gherbi

17 November 2005